



---

**Comptroller of the Currency  
Administrator of National Banks**

---

Washington, D.C. 20219

**Corporate Decision #97-37  
June 1997**

**DECISION OF THE OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY  
ON THE APPLICATION TO MERGE  
FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK OF GEORGIA, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, AND  
FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK OF FLORIDA, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA,  
WITH AND INTO  
FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK OF NORTH CAROLINA,  
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA**

**June 1, 1997**

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

On March 3, 1997, an Application was filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") for approval to merge First Union National Bank of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia ("FUNB-GA") and First Union National Bank of Florida, Jacksonville, Florida ("FUNB-FL") with and into First Union National Bank of North Carolina, Charlotte, North Carolina ("FUNB") under the charter of the latter, under 12 U.S.C. §§ 215a-1, 1828(c) & 1831u(a) ("the Merger Application"). As part of the merger agreement, the name of the resulting bank will be changed to "First Union National Bank." All three banks are insured national banks. FUNB has its main office in Charlotte and operates branches in North Carolina. FUNB-GA has its main office in Atlanta and operates branches in Georgia. FUNB-FL has its main office in Jacksonville and operates branches in Florida. In the Merger Application, OCC approval is also requested for the resulting bank to retain FUNB's main office as the main office of the resulting bank under 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(d)(1) and to retain FUNB's branches and FUNB-GA's and FUNB-FL's main offices and branches, as branches after the merger under 12 U.S.C. §§ 36(d) & 1831u(d)(1).

All three banks are subsidiaries of First Union Corporation, a multistate bank holding company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. In the proposed merger, three of the holding company's existing bank subsidiaries will be combined into one bank with branches. As of December 31, 1996, FUNB had approximately \$34.4 billion in assets and \$20 billion in deposits. As of the same date, FUNB-GA had approximately \$12.9 billion in assets and \$7.8 billion in deposits, and FUNB-FL had approximately \$39.2 billion in assets and \$31.6 billion in deposits.

## II. LEGAL AUTHORITY

### A. The Interstate Merger is Authorized under 12 U.S.C. §§ 215a-1 & 1831u.

In 1994, Congress enacted legislation to create a framework for interstate mergers and branching by banks. See Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-328, 108 Stat. 2338 (enacted September 29, 1994) ("the Riegle-Neal Act"). The Riegle-Neal Act added a new section 44 to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act that authorizes certain interstate merger transactions beginning on June 1, 1997. See Riegle-Neal Act § 102(a) (adding new section 44, 12 U.S.C. § 1831u). It also made conforming amendments to the provisions on mergers and consolidations of national banks to permit national banks to engage in such section 44 interstate merger transactions. See Riegle-Neal Act § 102(b)(4) (adding a new section, codified at 12 U.S.C. § 215a-1). It also added a similar conforming amendment to the McFadden Act to permit national banks to maintain and operate branches in accordance with section 44. See Riegle-Neal Act § 102(b)(1)(B) (adding new subsection 12 U.S.C. § 36(d)).

Section 44 authorizes mergers between banks with different home states:

(1) In General. -- Beginning on June 1, 1997, the responsible agency may approve a merger transaction under section 18(c) [12 U.S.C. § 1828(c), the Bank Merger Act] between insured banks with different home States, without regard to whether such transaction is prohibited under the law of any State.

12 U.S.C. § 1831u(a)(1).<sup>1</sup> The Act permits a state to elect to prohibit such interstate merger transactions involving a bank whose home state is the prohibiting state by enacting a law between September 29, 1994, and May 31, 1997, that expressly prohibits all mergers with all out-of-state banks. See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(a)(2) (state "opt-out" laws). In this Merger Application, the home states of the banks are North Carolina, Georgia, and Florida; none of these states has opted out. Accordingly, this Merger Application may be approved under 12 U.S.C. §§ 215a-1 & 1831u(a).

In addition, an application to engage in an interstate merger transaction under 12 U.S.C. § 1831u is also subject to certain requirements and conditions set forth in sections 1831u(a)(5) and 1831u(b) of the Riegle-Neal Act. These conditions are: (1) compliance with state-imposed age limits, if any, subject to the Act's limits; (2) compliance with certain state filing requirements, to the extent the filing requirements are permitted in the Act; (3) compliance with nationwide and state concentration limits; (4) community reinvestment compliance; and (5) adequacy of capital and management skills.

---

<sup>1</sup> For purposes of section 1831u, the following definitions apply: The term "home State" means, with respect to a national bank, "the State in which the main office of the bank is located." The term "host State" means, "with respect to a bank, a State, other than the home State of the bank, in which the bank maintains, or seeks to establish and maintain, a branch." The term "interstate merger transaction" means any merger transaction approved pursuant to section 1831u(a)(1). The term "out-of-State bank" means, "with respect to any State, a bank whose home State is another State." The term "responsible agency" means the agency determined in accordance with 12 U.S.C. § 1828(c)(2) (namely, the OCC if the acquiring, assuming, or resulting bank is a national bank). See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(f)(4), (5), (6), (8) & (10).

FUNB's Merger Application satisfies all these conditions to the extent applicable. First, the proposal satisfies the state-imposed age requirements permitted by section 1831u(a)(5). Under that section, the OCC may not approve a merger under section 1831u(a)(1) "that would have the effect of permitting an out-of-State bank or out-of-State bank holding company to acquire a bank in a host state that has not been in existence for the minimum period of time, if any, specified in the statutory law of the host State." 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(a)(5)(A). In this Merger Application, FUNB is acquiring by merger banks in, and will operate branches in, the host states of Georgia and Florida. Georgia requires that, in a merger with an out-of-state bank in which the out-of-state bank is the surviving bank, the Georgia bank must have been in existence for at least five years. See Ga. Code Ann. § 7-1-628.3(b). FUNB-GA (and its predecessors) has been in existence since 1969. Thus, the FUNB/FUNB-GA merger satisfies the Riegle-Neal Act requirement of compliance with state age laws. Similarly, Florida requires that, in a merger with an out-of-state bank in which the out-of-state bank is the surviving bank, the Florida bank must have been in existence for at least three years. See Fla. Stat. Ann. § 658.2953(7)(c). FUNB-FL (and its predecessors) has been in existence since 1983. Thus, the FUNB/FUNB-FL merger satisfies the requirement of compliance with state age laws.

Second, the proposal meets the applicable filing requirements. A bank applying for an interstate merger transaction under section 1831u(a) must (1) "comply with the filing requirements of any host State of the bank which will result from such transaction" as long as the filing requirement does not discriminate against out-of-state banks and is similar in effect to filing requirements imposed by the host state on out-of-state nonbanking corporations doing business in the host state, and (2) submit a copy of the application to the state bank supervisor of the host state. See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(1).<sup>2</sup> The Georgia interstate bank merger statute contains a number of filing, notification, and certification requirements for an out-of-state bank resulting from an interstate merger with a Georgia bank that by their terms purport to include mergers between national banks. See Ga. Code Ann. § 7-1-628.5(a). While some of these requirements appear to go beyond the filing requirements permitted in section 1831u(b)(1) and so are not applicable to national banks for the reasons discussed in note 2, FUNB has indicated it plans

---

<sup>2</sup> Under this provision, states are permitted to impose a filing requirement on out-of-state banks that will operate branches in the state as a result of an interstate merger transaction under the Riegle-Neal Act, but the states may impose only those requirements that are within the terms specified. Since Congress has specifically set forth and limited what state filing requirements apply for these interstate transactions, it clearly intended that only those requirements would apply, and the states may not impose others. Thus, in a transaction involving only national banks, only the filing requirements allowed under section 1831u(b)(1) must be complied with. However, where a state bank is involved, a state may continue to have authority to impose greater requirements on its own state-chartered banks, because of the reservation of authority in section 1831u(c)(3). Moreover, as a general matter, national banks are formed and incorporated under, and governed by, federal law. Their authority to enter mergers, to establish branches, or to undergo other changes in their corporate existence is determined by federal law, not state law; and any requisite approval is by the OCC, not state authorities. For a fuller discussion of this subject, see, e.g., Decision on the Applications to Merge First Interstate Banks into Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (OCC Corporate Decision No. 96-29, June 1, 1996) (at pages 4-5, 12-14 & note 11).

voluntarily to follow them.<sup>3</sup> FUNB also provided a copy of its OCC Merger Application to the Georgia state bank supervisor. Thus, the FUNB/FUNB-GA merger satisfies the Riegle-Neal Act requirement of compliance with state filing requirements. The Florida interstate merger statute requires an out-of-state bank that results from an interstate merger with a Florida bank to notify the state banking department within 15 days after it has filed its merger application with the appropriate federal regulatory agency and to submit a copy of the application to the department. See Fla. Stat. Ann. § 658.2953(8).<sup>4</sup> FUNB notified the state banking department and provided a copy of its OCC Merger Application. FUNB also confirmed with the department that no other filings are required by the department. Thus, the FUNB/FUNB-FL merger satisfies the Riegle-Neal Act requirement of compliance with state filing requirements.

Third, the proposed interstate merger transaction does not raise issues with respect to the deposit concentration limits of the Riegle-Neal Act. Section 1831u(b)(2) places certain nationwide and statewide deposit concentration limits on section 1831u(a) interstate merger transactions. However, interstate merger transactions involving only affiliated banks are specifically excepted from these provisions. See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(2)(E). FUNB, FUNB-GA, and FUNB-FL are affiliates; thus section 1831u(b)(2) is not applicable to this merger.

Fourth, the proposed interstate merger transaction also does not raise issues with respect to the special community reinvestment compliance provisions of the Riegle-Neal Act. In determining whether to approve an application for an interstate merger transaction under section 1831u(a), the OCC must (1) comply with its responsibilities under section 804 of the federal Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), 12 U.S.C. § 2903, (2) take into account the CRA evaluations of any bank which would be an affiliate of the resulting bank, and (3) take into account the applicant banks' record of compliance with applicable state community reinvestment laws. See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(3). However, this provision does not apply to mergers between affiliated banks since it applies only "for an interstate merger transaction in which the resulting bank would have a branch or bank affiliate immediately following the transaction in any State in which the bank submitting the application (as the acquiring bank) had no branch or bank affiliate immediately before the transaction." 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(3). See also H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 651, 103d Cong., 2d Sess. 52 (1994). In this Merger Application, FUNB (the bank submitting the application as the acquiring bank) has bank affiliates in Georgia and Florida before the transaction (i.e., FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL), and is also not otherwise obtaining a branch or bank affiliate in any state in which it did not have a branch or bank affiliate before. Thus, this Riegle-Neal Act provision is not applicable to the Merger Application. However, the Community Reinvestment Act itself is applicable, as discussed below, see Part III-B.

---

<sup>3</sup> Of the five requirements that section 7-1-628.5(a) purports to apply to national banks, only the filing requirement in paragraph (a)(4) appears to be the type of state filing requirement contemplated in section 1831u(b)(1). Since FUNB plans to follow the state's requirements, we need not address in detail here the extent to which the state has the authority to impose the other conditions on national banks. See note 2.

<sup>4</sup> The Florida statute currently also requires the out-of-state bank to comply with the general foreign corporation filing requirements of Fla. Stat. Ann. §§ 607.1501 - 607.1532. However, recent legislation in Florida amended the statute to delete that requirement. While the amendment is not effective until October, FUNB was advised by the Florida authorities that no filing would be required.

Fifth, the proposal satisfies the adequacy of capital and management skills requirements in the Riegle-Neal Act. The OCC may approve an application for an interstate merger transaction under section 1831u(a) only if each bank involved in the transaction is adequately capitalized as of the date the application is filed and the resulting bank will continue to be adequately capitalized and adequately managed upon consummation of the transaction. See 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(4). As of the date the application was filed, all three banks satisfied all regulatory and supervisory requirements relating to adequate capitalization. Currently, each bank is at least satisfactorily managed. The OCC has also determined that, following the merger, FUNB will continue to exceed the standards for an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank. The requirements of 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(b)(4) are therefore satisfied.

Accordingly, the proposed interstate merger transaction between FUNB, FUNB-GA, and FUNB-FL is legally permissible under section 1831u.

**B. Following the Merger, the Resulting Bank may Retain FUNB's, FUNB-GA's and FUNB-FL's Main Offices and Branches under 12 U.S.C. §§ 36(d) & 1831u(d)(1).**

The Applicants have requested that, upon the completion of the merger, FUNB (as the resulting bank in the merger) be permitted to retain and continue to operate its existing main office in Charlotte as the main office of the resulting bank and to retain and continue to operate as branches (1) its own existing branches and (2) the main offices and branches of FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL in Georgia and Florida. In an interstate merger transaction under section 1831u, the resulting bank's retention and continued operation of the offices of the merging banks is expressly provided for:

(1) Continued Operations. -- A resulting bank may, subject to the approval of the appropriate Federal banking agency, retain and operate, as a main office or a branch, any office that any bank involved in an interstate merger transaction was operating as a main office or a branch immediately before the merger transaction.

12 U.S.C. § 1831u(d)(1). The resulting bank is the "bank that has resulted from an interstate merger transaction under this section [section 1831u(a)]." 12 U.S.C. § 1831u(f)(11). In addition, Congress also added a conforming amendment to the McFadden Act to emphasize that branch retention in an interstate merger transaction under section 1831u occurs under the authority of section 1831u(d):

(d) Branches Resulting From Interstate Merger Transactions. -- A national bank resulting from an interstate merger transaction (as defined in section 44(f)(6) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act) may maintain and operate a branch in a State other than the home State (as defined in subsection (g)(3)(B)) of such bank in accordance with section 44 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.

12 U.S.C. § 36(d) (as added by Riegle-Neal Act § 102(b)(1)(B)). Therefore, FUNB, the resulting bank in this interstate merger transaction, may retain and continue to operate all of the existing banking offices of all three banks under 12 U.S.C. §§ 36(d) & 1831u(d)(1).<sup>5</sup>

Moreover, at its branches in Georgia and Florida, as well as those in North Carolina, FUNB is authorized to engage in all activities permissible for national banks, including fiduciary activities. See, e.g., 12 U.S.C. §§ 215a-1 (Riegle-Neal mergers with a resulting national bank occur under the National Bank Consolidation and Merger Act), 215a(e) (the resulting national bank in a merger succeeds to all the rights, franchises and interests, including fiduciary appointments, of the merging banks), & 1831u(d)(1) (continued operations at retained interstate branches). See also OCC Interpretive Letter No. 695 (December 8, 1995) (national banks may engage in fiduciary business at trust offices and branches in different states). Cf. 12 U.S.C. § 36(f) (general provisions for host state laws applicable to branches in the host state of out-of-state national banks).

### **III. ADDITIONAL STATUTORY AND POLICY REVIEWS**

#### **A. The Bank Merger Act.**

The Bank Merger Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1828(c), requires the OCC's approval for any merger between insured banks where the resulting institution will be a national bank. Under the Act, the OCC generally may not approve a merger which would substantially lessen competition. In addition, the Act also requires the OCC to take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the existing and proposed institutions, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. For the reasons stated below, we find the Merger Application may be approved under section 1828(c).

#### **1. Competitive Analysis**

Since all three banks are already owned by the same bank holding company, their merger would have no anticompetitive effects.

---

<sup>5</sup> By its action in adding section 36(d), Congress made it clear that section 44(d)(1) is an express and complete grant of office-retention authority for interstate merger transactions effected under section 44 and that it operates independently of the provisions for branch retention in mergers under 12 U.S.C. § 36(b)(2). Neither section 36(d) nor section 1831u(d)(1) refer to section 36(b)(2). Congress clearly was aware of the McFadden Act's existing provisions for branch retention in mergers at the time it acted on Section 44 and the way in which those provisions applied for interstate national banks, since the OCC had approved interstate main office relocation transactions that also involved mergers with affiliate banks in which the resulting bank's authority to retain branches was based on section 36(b)(2). The Conference Report to the Riegle-Neal Act makes reference to such OCC decisions. See H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 651, 103d Cong., 2d Sess. 57 (1994). By expressly providing for office-retention in section 1831u(d)(1) and then incorporating that into the McFadden Act in section 36(d), Congress clearly intended that those provisions apply to branch retention in interstate merger transactions under section 1831u, rather than the complex branch retention provisions of section 36(b)(2). Of course, section 36(b)(2) continues to govern branch retention in national bank mergers that are not entered into under section 1831u, including mergers involving an interstate bank (such as a merger of an interstate bank into another national bank in its home state).

## **2. Financial and managerial resources**

The financial and managerial resources of all three banks are presently satisfactory. FUNB expects to achieve efficiencies by operating the offices in Georgia and Florida as branches rather than as separate corporate entities. The geographic diversification of its operations will also strengthen the combined bank. The future prospects of the existing institutions, individually and combined, are favorable. Thus, we find the financial and managerial resources factor is consistent with approval of the Merger Application.

## **3. Convenience and needs**

The resulting bank will help to meet the convenience and needs of the communities to be served. FUNB will continue to serve the same areas in North Carolina, and it will add FUNB-GA's and FUNB-FL's offices in Georgia and Florida. All three banks currently offer a full line of banking services, and there will be no reductions in the products or services as a result of the merger. The combined bank will continue to offer a full line of banking products and services. The branches in Georgia and Florida will continue to engage in the same business, serving the same communities, that FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL are currently engaged in. The merger will permit the resulting bank to better serve its customers and at a lower cost. The combined resources, including capital and reserves, of the currently separate banks will provide a more substantial capital cushion for unexpected losses as well as provide business customers with a higher legal lending limit.

Upon completion of the merger, customers of each bank will have available to them a significantly greater number of branches at which to bank. Currently, banking is not as convenient as it could be for customers who frequently travel across the state lines or for business customers who have operations in more than one state. Following the merger, customers would be dealing with the same bank in the different states and will be able to readily access their accounts with greater convenience. No branch closings are contemplated as a result of this merger since the three banks serve different areas. However, as part of its ongoing business plans, FUNB and First Union Corporation continually evaluate its branch system, including branches acquired in transactions and, as a part of the normal course of business, may close redundant or unprofitable branches. Any such closures will be made in accordance with applicable statutes and regulations, including notification of customers of the branches, and will consider the needs of the community affected.

Accordingly, we believe the impact of the merger on the convenience and needs of the communities to be served is consistent with approval of the Merger Application.

## **B. The Community Reinvestment Act**

The Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") requires the OCC to take into account the applicants' record of helping to meet the credit needs of their entire communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, when evaluating certain applications. See 12 U.S.C. § 2903. FUNB has an outstanding rating, and FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL have satisfactory

ratings, with respect to CRA performance. No public comments were received by the OCC relating to this Application during the public comment period.<sup>6</sup> The OCC has no other basis to question the banks' performance in complying with the CRA.

The merger is not expected to have any adverse effect on the resulting bank's CRA performance. The resulting bank will continue to serve the same communities that the merging banks currently serve. FUNB will continue its current CRA programs and policies in North Carolina. After FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL are merged into FUNB, their Georgia and Florida offices will remain open as branches of FUNB. FUNB will carry forward the same CRA programs and policies that the three banks have today. Moreover, FUNB has represented that FUNB will honor all CRA-related commitments made by FUNB-GA and FUNB-FL. As a general matter, the resulting bank will have the same commitment to helping meet the credit needs of all the communities it serves as FUNB, FUNB-GA, and FUNB-FL have today as separate banks. The merger and operation of interstate branches do not alter the resulting bank's obligation to help meet the credit needs of its communities in all the states it serves. We find that approval of the proposed merger is consistent with the Community Reinvestment Act.

#### IV. CONCLUSION AND APPROVAL

For the reasons set forth above, including the representations and commitments made by the applicants, we find that the merger of FUNB, FUNB-GA, and FUNB-FL is legally authorized as an interstate merger transaction under the Riegle-Neal Act, 12 U.S.C. §§ 215a-1 & 1831u(a), the resulting bank is authorized to retain and operate the offices of all three banks under 12 U.S.C. §§ 36(d) & 1831u(d)(1), and that the merger meets the other statutory criteria for approval. Accordingly, this Merger Application is hereby approved.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
Julie L. Williams  
Chief Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
06-01-97  
Date

Application Control Number: 97-ML-02-0006

---

<sup>6</sup> The public comment period ended on April 2, 1997. In mid-May, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRS") forwarded to the OCC a copy of a one-page letter it had received from Kenneth H. Thomas, Ph.D., protesting the merger. He objected to the merger for the same grounds he had previously used to protest other applications by First Union Corporation, namely his allegation that FUNB-FL's fee policies in Florida were discriminatory. In approving the earlier applications, the FRS determined the protest did not have merit. See Order Approving the Acquisition of Home Financial Corp, 82 Fed. Res. Bull. No. 12 (October 15, 1996); Order Approving the Acquisition of First Fidelity Bancorporation, 81 Fed. Res. Bull. No. 12 (October 26, 1995). Mr. Thomas also had made the same allegations orally to the OCC in prior years. OCC investigated the allegations on two separate occasions in 1995 and 1996 and advised Mr. Thomas in meetings and by telephone that we found them to be without merit. Accordingly, we concluded that these allegations did not serve as a basis for denial or conditioning the approval of this Merger Application.